

Math.Comp.Modelling, 18,N1,(1993),57-64.
Special issue; Multidimensional Inverse Problems and Mathematical Problems
of Tomography and Signal processing,
Editor A.G.Ramm

**Fixed energy inverse scattering
for non-compactly supported potentials**

A.G.RAMM
Mathematics Department
Kansas State University
Manhattan, Kansas 66506, USA

P.D. STEFANOV
Institute of Mathematics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
1090 Sofia, Bulgaria

Abstract. For potentials that decay faster than any exponential the uniqueness of the solution to the inverse scattering problem with fixed-energy data is proved.

1. Introduction.

We study the inverse scattering problem in potential scattering at fixed energy. Let $q(x)$, $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be a (short range) potential and denote by $A(\omega, \theta, k)$ the related quantum-mechanical scattering amplitude (see the definition below). The inverse problem we are interested in is the following: Does $A(\omega, \theta, k)$ given for a fixed value of the energy $k > 0$ determine uniquely q ? For potentials q of compact support it was proven by A.G.Ramm [R1] and R.G.Novikov [No] that the answer is affirmative. The same is true for sufficiently small exponentially decreasing potentials [H-N]. On the other hand, it is known (see [C-S]) that for general short-range potentials the uniqueness fails. R.Weder [W2] proved that if a potential decreasing like $C(1+|x|)^{-n-\varepsilon}$ is already known outside a compact set, then it can be determined completely. The proof of the uniqueness in [No], [R5] (see also [S-U2]) reduces this inverse problem to the problem of finding $q(x)$ from the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map (see [S-U1], [Na] and [S-U2] for a review of the most recent results in this direction). On the other hand, in [R1-3,6,7] another approach is proposed based on the completeness of the scattering solutions. In this paper we prove

that the uniqueness holds for potentials of non-compact support in \mathbf{R}^3 that decrease faster than any exponential $Ce^{-\alpha|x|}$, $\alpha > 0$, as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ following the strategy of [R1], [R3].

Let us recall the definition of the scattering amplitude. Denote by $G_0(k)$ the resolvent $G_0(k) = (-\Delta - k^2 - i0)^{-1}$, that in \mathbf{R}^3 is given by

$$[G_0(k)f](x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \frac{e^{ik|x-y|}}{|x-y|} f(y) dy.$$

In order to introduce the scattering amplitude, assume $q = \bar{q}$, $|q(x)| < C(1 + |x|)^{-3-\varepsilon}$ (see [A] for less restrictive assumptions). Then it is well-known ([A], [R8] and [R9, p. 221]) that the Lippmann-Schwinger equation

$$(1) \quad u = u_0 - G_0(k)qu,$$

where $u_0(x, \theta, k) = e^{ikx \cdot \theta}$, $k > 0$, $\theta \in S^2$ has unique solution given by $u = u_0 - (I + G_0(k)q)^{-1}G_0(k)qu_0$. The scattering amplitude $A(\omega, \theta, k)$, $\omega \in S^2$, $\theta \in S^2$, $k > 0$ is given by

$$(2) \quad A(\omega, \theta, k) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int e^{-ikx \cdot \omega} q(x)u(x, \theta, k) dx.$$

Our main result is the following.

THEOREM 1 *Let $q_j = \bar{q}_j \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^3)$, $|q_j(x)| \leq C_\alpha e^{-\alpha|x|}$ for any $\alpha > 0$, $j = 1, 2$ and denote by $A_j(\omega, \theta, k)$ the corresponding scattering amplitude. Then if $A_1(\omega, \theta, k) = A_2(\omega, \theta, k)$ for some $k > 0$ and all $\omega \in S^2$, $\theta \in S^2$, we have $q_1 = q_2$.*

The proof is based mainly on the observation that the set $\{u(x, \theta, k), \theta \in S^2\}$ (k is fixed) is dense with respect to the topology in $X_\alpha = L^2(\mathbf{R}^3; e^{-2\alpha|x|} dx)$, $\alpha > 0$ in the set of all solutions to the equation $(-\Delta + q - k^2)\psi = 0$ belonging to X_β , $\beta < \alpha$. The assumption $A_1 = A_2$ for a fixed $k > 0$ implies that

$$\int ((q_1(x) - q_2(x))u_1(x, \omega, k)u_2(x, \theta, k) dx = 0$$

for all ω , θ and k fixed as above. Here u_1 , u_2 are related to q_1 , q_2 , respectively. The density of the scattering solutions mentioned above allows us to prove that

$$(3) \quad \int ((q_1(x) - q_2(x))\psi_1(x)\psi_2(x) dx = 0$$

for any solutions ψ_1 , ψ_2 to the equations $(-\Delta + q_j - k^2)\psi_j = 0$, $j = 1, 2$ that are exponentially increasing. The above equations possess special solutions $\psi_j(x, \zeta)$ similar to those constructed by Sylvester and Uhlmann [S-U1] (see also [R5]) depending on a parameter $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}^3$, such that $\zeta^2 := \zeta_1^2 + \zeta_2^2 + \zeta_3^2 = 1$, $|\zeta| \gg 1$ with the property that $\psi_j(x, \zeta) = e^{ix \cdot \zeta}(1 + \rho_j(x, \zeta))$, $\|\rho(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{L^2_{-\delta}} < C/|\zeta|$. Choosing ψ_j in (3) to be $\psi_j(x, \zeta_j)$ with $\zeta_1 + \zeta_2 = -p \in \mathbf{R}^3$, $|\zeta_j| \rightarrow \infty$, we get that the Fourier transform of $q_1 - q_2$ vanishes, which implies $q_1 = q_2$.

2. Approximation by scattering solutions.

We assume in what follows that k is fixed. Without loss of generality we may assume that $k = 1$. In order to simplify the notations we will drop k , i.e. we will denote G_0 , $u(x, \theta)$, $A(\omega, \theta)$ instead of $G_0(k)$, $u(x, \theta, k)$, $A(\omega, \theta, k)$, etc.

Denote by L^2_s the weighted Sobolev space with norm

$$\|f\|_{L^2_s}^2 = \int (1 + |x|^2)^s |f(x)|^2 dx.$$

Let us recall [A] that G_0 is a bounded map from L^2_s to $L^2_{-\delta}$ for any $\delta > \frac{1}{2}$. We also denote by X_a the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbf{R}^3; e^{-2a|x|} dx)$ consisting of all functions f such that $f e^{-a|x|} \in L^2$ equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{X_a}^2 = \int |f(x)|^2 e^{-2a|x|} dx.$$

Next lemma is the key point of the proof of Theorem 1.

LEMMA 2 *Let $|q(x)| < C e^{-\alpha|x|}$ with some $\alpha > 0$. Then for any solution ψ of the equation $(-\Delta + q - 1)\psi = 0$ belonging to X_β with $\beta < \alpha$, there is a sequence ν_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots$ in $L^2(S^2)$, such that*

$$(4) \quad \left\| \psi - \int_{S^2} u(\cdot, \omega) \nu_j(\omega) d\omega \right\|_{X_\alpha} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

PROOF: Denote $\psi_0 = (I + G_0q)\psi$. We claim that ψ_0 is well-defined and moreover $\psi_0 \in X_\beta$. Indeed, since $\psi e^{-\beta|x|} \in L^2$ we have

$$|q\psi| = |qe^{\beta|x|}\psi e^{-\beta|x|}| \leq Ce^{-(\alpha-\beta)|x|}(|\psi|e^{-\beta|x|}) \in L^2_\delta$$

for any δ and in particular this is true for $\delta > \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, $G_0q\psi \in L^2_{-\delta}$, $\delta > \frac{1}{2}$, which proves the claim. First we will find a sequence ν_j in $L^2(S^2)$, such that

$$(5) \quad \|\psi_0 - \int_{S^2} u_0(\cdot, \omega)\nu_j(\omega) d\omega\|_{X_\beta} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty$$

(recall that $u_0(x, \omega) = e^{ix \cdot \omega}$) and then we will show that this sequence satisfies (4).

Our choice of ψ_0 yields $(\Delta + 1)\psi_0 = 0$ in \mathbf{R}^3 . Therefore, we have

$$\psi_0(r\theta) = \sum_{n,m} a_{nm} j_n(r) Y_n^m(\theta), \quad \sum_{n,m} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^n,$$

with some a_{nm} , where $x = r\theta$, $r > 0$, $\theta \in S^2$. The functions $Y_n^m(\theta)$ are the spherical harmonics on S^2 , while by $j_n(r) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2r}} J_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(r)$ we denote the spherical Bessel functions. The series above is convergent in the following sense. For any $r > 0$, we have $\sum_{n,m} |a_{nm}|^2 |j_n(r)|^2 = \int_{S^2} |\psi_0(r\theta, \zeta)|^2 d\theta < \infty$. Moreover, since $\psi_0 \in X_\beta$, we obtain

$$(6) \quad \sum_{n,m} |a_{nm}|^2 b_n^2 = \|\psi_0\|_{X_\beta}^2 < \infty,$$

where $b_n^2 = \int_0^\infty |j_n(r)|^2 r^2 e^{-2\beta r} dr$ (it is easy to see that $b_n < \infty$). Using the expansion [G-R]

$$e^{ix \cdot \omega} = \sum_{n,m} 4\pi i^n j_n(r) Y_n^m(\theta) \overline{Y_n^m(\omega)}, \quad x = r\theta,$$

we can write the norm in (5) in the following form

$$\|\psi_0 - \int_{S^2} u_0(\cdot, \omega)\nu_j(\omega) d\omega\|_{X_\beta}^2 = \sum_{n,m} |a_{nm} - 4\pi i^n \nu_{jnm}|^2 b_n^2,$$

where $\nu_{jnm} = \int_{S^2} \nu_j(\omega) \overline{Y_n^m(\omega)} d\omega$. Therefore, it is convenient to set

$$\nu_{jnm} = \begin{cases} (4\pi i^n)^{-1} a_{nm}, & \text{for } -n \leq m \leq n, n \leq j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

i.e. $\nu_j(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^j \sum_{m=-n}^n (4\pi i^n)^{-1} a_{nm} Y_n^m(\omega)$. Then

$$\|\psi_0 - \int_{S^2} u_0(\cdot, \omega) \nu_j(\omega) d\omega\|_{X_\beta}^2 = \sum_{n=j+1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^n |a_{nm}|^2 b_n^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$ in view of (6). Thus, we found a sequence ν_j in $L^2(S^2)$, such that (5) holds. Now, let us prove that (5) implies (4). Note that G_0q is a well-defined compact operator in $L^2_{-\delta}$, $\delta > \frac{1}{2}$. Moreover, $I + G_0q$ is invertible in $L^2_{-\delta}$, because the equation $(I + G_0q)f = 0$ has no non-zero solutions in $L^2_{-\delta}$. Indeed, if $f = -G_0qf$ for some $f \in L^2_{-\delta}$, then $g := qf \in L^2_\delta$ solves the equation $g = -qG_0g$, which implies that $\lambda = 1$ is an eigenvalue of $-\Delta + q$ in L^2 with eigenvector f (see Lemma 8, §XIII.8 in [R-S]). The assumptions imposed on q guarantee that $-\Delta + q$ has no positive eigenvalues, hence the equation $(I + G_0q)f = 0$ has only trivial solutions in $L^2_{-\delta}$, therefore $(I + G_0q)^{-1}$ exists as a bounded operator in $L^2_{-\delta}$.

We have $\psi_0 - \psi = G_0q\psi \in L^2_{-\delta}$ (though $\psi_0, \psi \notin L^2_{-\delta}$). Let us calculate $(I + G_0q)(\psi_0 - \psi)$. We have

$$(I + G_0q)(\psi_0 - \psi) = \psi_0 - \psi + G_0q\psi_0 - G_0q\psi = G_0q\psi_0 \in L^2_{-\delta}.$$

Hence, $\psi_0 - \psi = (I + G_0q)^{-1}G_0q\psi_0$, i.e.

$$(7) \quad \psi = \psi_0 - (I + G_0q)^{-1}G_0q\psi_0.$$

Similarly, the solution u to the Lippmann-Schwinger equation (1) is given by $u = u_0 - (I + G_0q)^{-1}G_0qu_0$. This, combined with (7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \psi - \int_{S^2} u(\cdot, \omega) \nu_j(\omega) d\omega &= \psi_0 - \int_{S^2} u_0(\cdot, \omega) \nu_j(\omega) d\omega \\ &\quad - (I + G_0q)^{-1}G_0q \left[\psi_0 - \int_{S^2} u_0(\cdot, \omega) \nu_j(\omega) d\omega \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for any $a > 0$ we have

$$\|(I + G_0q)^{-1}G_0qf\|_{X_a} \leq C\|(I + G_0q)^{-1}G_0qf\|_{L^2_{-\delta}} \leq C'\|f\|_{X_\beta}.$$

Thus,

$$\|\psi - \int_{S^2} u(\cdot, \omega) \nu_j(\omega) d\omega\|_{X_a} \leq (1 + C')\|\psi_0 - \int_{S^2} u_0(\cdot, \omega) \nu_j(\omega) d\omega\|_{X_\beta} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$ in view of (5). This completes the proof of the lemma.

3. Proof of the main theorem.

Let us introduce the special solutions to the equation $(-\Delta + q - 1)\psi = 0$ similar to those constructed in [S-U1] and [R5].

THEOREM 3 *Let $q \in L^\infty$, $|q(x)| \leq C(q + |x|)^{-2-\varepsilon}$ with some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists a constant $\mu > 0$, such that for any $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}^3$ with the properties $\zeta^2 = 1$, $|\zeta| > \mu$, there exists a solution $\psi(x, \zeta)$ to the equation $(-\Delta_x + q - 1)\psi = 0$ of the form $\psi = e^{ix \cdot \zeta}(1 + \rho(x, \zeta))$ such that $\rho(\cdot, \zeta) \in L^2_{-\delta}$ with some $\delta > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\|\rho(\cdot, \zeta)\|_{L^2_{-\delta}} < C/|\zeta|$.*

PROOF: Assume $\zeta^2 = 1$. Substituting the expression $\psi = e^{ix \cdot \zeta}(1 + \rho)$ into the equation $(-\Delta + q - 1)\psi = 0$, we get the following equation for ρ :

$$(8) \quad (-\Delta - 2i\zeta \cdot \nabla)\rho + q\rho = -q.$$

Denote by $G(x, \zeta)$ the Green-Faddeev's function

$$G(x, \zeta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi}{|\xi|^2 + 2\zeta \cdot \xi}.$$

It is known that the operator $G(\zeta)$, given by $G(\zeta)f = \int G(x - y, \zeta)f(y) dy$ satisfies the estimate

$$(9) \quad \|G(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2_\delta; L^2_{-\delta})} < \frac{C}{|\zeta|}, \quad \delta > \frac{1}{2}.$$

In fact, (9) holds as long as $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}^3 \setminus \mathbf{R}^3$, $|\zeta| > M > 0$ with $C = C(M)$ [Na], [W1]. Note that here $\zeta^2 = 1$, so $|\zeta| \geq 1$. Then it is easy to see that the function ρ , defined by

$$\rho = -(I + G(\zeta)q)^{-1}G(\zeta)q$$

is a well-defined element in $L^2_{-\delta}$ for $\frac{1}{2} < \delta < \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ and it satisfies (8). Indeed, for such δ we have $q \in L^2_\delta$, so $G(\zeta)q \in L^2_{-\delta}$. Moreover, the multiplication by q is a bounded operator from $L^2_{-\delta}$ to L^2_δ as long as $\delta < 1$. Estimate (9) implies that

$$\|G(\zeta)q\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2_{-\delta}; L^2_\delta)} < \frac{C_1}{|\zeta|},$$

therefore for $|\zeta| > \mu := 2C_1$, $I + G(\zeta)q$ is invertible in $L^2_{-\delta}$ and

$$\|(I + G(\zeta)q)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2_{-\delta}; L^2_{-\delta})} < 2.$$

Therefore,

$$\|\rho\|_{L^2_{-\delta}} \leq \|(I + G(\zeta)q)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2_{-\delta}; L^2_{-\delta})} \|G(\zeta)\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2_{\delta}; L^2_{-\delta})} \|q\|_{L^2_{\delta}} \leq \frac{C_2}{|\zeta|},$$

which completes the proof.

The starting point in the proof of Theorem 1 is the next lemma (see [R3], [R4], [S1]). For the sake of completeness we will recall the proof given in [S2].

LEMMA 4 *Let $|q_j| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-3-\varepsilon}$, $j = 1, 2$ and denote by $A_j(\omega, \theta, k)$, $u_j(x, \theta, k)$ the scattering amplitude and the scattering solution corresponding to q_j . Then*

$$A_1(\omega, \theta, k) - A_2(\omega, \theta, k) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int ((q_1(x) - q_2(x))u_1(x, \theta, k)u_2(x, -\omega, k) dx.$$

PROOF: The assumptions imposed on q_j guarantee that $q_j \in L^1 \cap L^2_{\delta}$, $\delta > \frac{1}{2}$, so that all integrals below are well-defined. Combining (1) and (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} -4\pi A_1(\omega, \theta, k) &= \int u_0(x, -\omega, k)q_1(x)u_1(x, \theta, k) dx \\ &= \int u_2(x, -\omega, k)q_1(x)u_1(x, \theta, k) dx \\ &\quad + \int q_1(x)u_1(x, \theta, k)G_0(k)q_2u_2(\cdot, -\omega, k) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since the kernel of $G_0(k)$ is symmetric, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -4\pi A_1(\omega, \theta, k) &= \int u_2(x, -\omega, k)[q_1(x)u_1(x, \theta, k) + q_2(x)G_0(k)q_1u_1(\cdot, \theta, k)] dx \\ &= \int u_2(x, -\omega, k)[q_1(x)u_1(x, \theta, k) + q_2(x)(u_0 - u_1)(x, \theta, k)] dx \\ &= \int u_2(x, -\omega, k)(q_1 - q_2)(x)u_1(x, \theta, k) dx - 4\pi A_2(-\theta, -\omega, k). \end{aligned}$$

Note that in particular, setting $q_1 = q_2$ above we get $A_2(-\theta, -\omega, k) = A_2(\omega, \theta, k)$, therefore the equality above is just what we want to prove.

Now, let q_1 and q_2 be two potentials such that $|q_1| + |q_2| \leq Ce^{-\alpha|x|}$, $\alpha > 0$ and denote by A_1, A_2 the corresponding scattering amplitudes at $k = 1$. Assume that $A_1(\omega, \theta) = A_2(\omega, \theta)$ for all ω, θ . Then, by Lemma 4,

$$(10) \quad \int ((q_1(x) - q_2(x))u_1(x, \omega)u_2(x, \theta) dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } \omega, \theta.$$

Next we will show that we can replace u_1, u_2 in (10) by $\psi_1(x, \zeta_1), \psi_2(x, \zeta_2)$, where ψ_1, ψ_2 are the solutions of Theorem 3, related to q_1 and q_2 , respectively. Denote by $\mu = \max(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ that number, for which the solutions ψ_1, ψ_2 are well-defined for $|\zeta| > \mu, \zeta^2 = 1$. Fix $\alpha > 2\mu$ and let $\mu < a < \alpha/2$. Choose ζ_1, ζ_2 such that $\zeta_1^2 = \zeta_2^2 = 1, \mu < |\text{Im } \zeta_1| < a, \mu < |\text{Im } \zeta_2| < a$. Let us apply Lemma 2 with $\psi = \psi_1(x, \zeta_1)$. Since $|\text{Im } \zeta_1| < a$ and $\rho_1(\cdot, \zeta_1) \in L^2_{-\delta}, \delta > \frac{1}{2}$ we have $\psi_1(\cdot, \zeta_1) \in X_\beta$ for any $\beta > |\text{Im } \zeta_1|$. By Lemma 2 (we may assume $\beta < a$)

$$(11) \quad \|\psi_1(\cdot, \zeta_1) - \int_{S^2} u_1(\cdot, \omega)\nu_{1j}(\omega) d\omega\|_{X_a} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty$$

for some sequence ν_{1j} in $L^2(S^2)$. Let us multiply (10) by $\nu_{1j}(\omega)$ and integrate over S^2 .

We get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int ((q_1(x) - q_2(x)) \left[\int_{S^2} u_1(x, \omega)\nu_{1j}(\omega) d\omega \right] u_2(x, \theta) dx \\ &= \int ((q_1(x) - q_2(x))\psi_1(x, \zeta_1)u_2(x, \theta) dx + I_1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_1 = - \int ((q_1(x) - q_2(x)) \left[\psi_1(x, \zeta_1) - \int_{S^2} u_1(x, \omega)\nu_{1j}(\omega) d\omega \right] u_2(x, \theta) dx.$$

By (11),

$$|I_1| \leq \|(q_1 - q_2)e^{\alpha|x|}\|_{L^\infty} \|e^{-(\alpha-a)|x|}u_2\|_{L^2} \|\psi_1 - \int_{S^2} u_1\nu_{1j} d\omega\|_{X_a} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore,

$$(12) \quad \int ((q_1(x) - q_2(x))\psi_1(x, \zeta_1)u_2(x, \theta) dx = 0 \quad \text{for any } \theta \in S^2.$$

Let us apply once more Lemma 2 for $\psi = \psi_2(x, \zeta_2)$. Then, there exists a sequence ν_{2j} in $L^2(S^2)$, such that

$$(13) \quad \|\psi_2(\cdot, \zeta_2) - \int_{S^2} u_2(\cdot, \theta) \nu_{2j}(\theta) d\theta\|_{X_a} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Multiplying (12) by $\nu_{2j}(\theta)$ and integrating over S^2 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int ((q_1(x) - q_2(x))\psi_1(x, \zeta_1) \left[\int_{S^2} u_2(x, \theta) \nu_{2j}(\theta) d\theta \right] dx \\ &= \int ((q_1(x) - q_2(x))\psi_1(x, \zeta_1)\psi_2(x, \zeta_2) dx + I_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_2 = - \int ((q_1(x) - q_2(x))\psi_1(x, \zeta_1) \left[\psi_2(x, \zeta_2) - \int_{S^2} u_2(x, \theta) \nu_{2j}(\theta) d\theta \right] dx.$$

Since $|q_1 - q_2| < Ce^{-\alpha|x|}$, $|\text{Im } \zeta_1| < a < \alpha/2$, $|\text{Im } \zeta_2| < a < \alpha/2$, all integrals above are well-defined and for I_2 we have

$$|I_2| \leq \|(q_1 - q_2)e^{\alpha|x|}\|_{L^\infty} \|\psi_1\|_{X_a} \|\psi_2 - \int_{S^2} u_2 \nu_{2j} d\theta\|_{X_a} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$ by (13). As a result, assuming that $|q_1| + |q_2| < Ce^{-\alpha|x|}$ for $\alpha > 2\mu$ we proved that if $\zeta_1^2 = \zeta_2^2 = 1$, $\mu < |\text{Im } \zeta_1| < \alpha/2$, $\mu < |\text{Im } \zeta_2| < \alpha/2$, we have

$$(14) \quad \int ((q_1(x) - q_2(x))\psi_1(x, \zeta_1)\psi_2(x, \zeta_2) dx = 0.$$

Now, let us assume that $|q_1| + |q_2| < C_\alpha e^{-\alpha|x|}$ for all $\alpha > 0$. Then (14) holds for any $\alpha > 2\mu$. Following a standard argument we will show below that (14) implies $q_1 = q_2$. Since (14) is fulfilled for all ζ_1, ζ_2 with $\zeta_1^2 = \zeta_2^2 = 1$, $|\text{Im } \zeta_1| > \mu$, $|\text{Im } \zeta_2| > \mu$, given $p \in \mathbf{R}^3$, let us choose two sequences $\zeta_1(n), \zeta_2(n)$, such that $\zeta_1^2(n) = \zeta_2^2(n) = 1$, $\zeta_1(n) + \zeta_2(n) = -p$ and $|\zeta_1(n)| = |\zeta_2(n)| \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. To this end, it is sufficient to choose elements ξ, η in \mathbf{R}^3 , such that $\xi \cdot \eta = \xi \cdot p = p \cdot \eta$, $|\xi| = n$, $|\eta| = |p|^2/4 + n^2 - 1$ and to put $\zeta_1 = -\frac{1}{2}p + \xi + i\eta$, $\zeta_2 = -\frac{1}{2}p - \xi - i\eta$. With this choice of ζ_1, ζ_2 , according to (14) we have for n sufficiently large

$$\int e^{-ix \cdot p} ((q_1(x) - q_2(x)) [1 + \rho_1(x, \zeta_1)] [1 + \rho_2(x, \zeta_2)] dx = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\hat{q}_1(p) - \hat{q}_2(p) = -(2\pi)^{-3/2} \int e^{-ix \cdot p} (q_1 - q_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_1 \rho_2) dx$$

and for some $\alpha > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{q}_1(p) - \hat{q}_2(p)| &\leq C_\alpha \int e^{-\alpha|x|} |\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_1 \rho_2| dx \\ &\leq C'_\alpha \left(\|\rho_1(\cdot, \zeta_1)\|_{L^2_{-\delta}} + \|\rho_2(\cdot, \zeta_2)\|_{L^2_{-\delta}} + \|\rho_1(\cdot, \zeta_1)\|_{L^2_{-\delta}} \|\rho_2(\cdot, \zeta_2)\|_{L^2_{-\delta}} \right) \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

(recall that $\zeta_j = \zeta_j(n)$) according to Theorem 3. Therefore, $\hat{q}_1(p) = \hat{q}_2(p)$ for all $p \in \mathbf{R}^3$, which implies $q_1 = q_2$. Theorem 1 is proved.

Acknowledgments. AGR thanks ONR, NSF and USIEF for support. This paper was written while AGR was a Fulbright Research Professor at the Technion. PS thanks Bulgarian Scientific Foundation and US–Bulgaria NSF section for support and the University of Bordeaux I for hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [A] S. Agmon, *Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and scattering theory*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **2**(1975), 151–218.
- [C-S] K. Chadan, P. Sabatier, *Inverse problems in Quantum Scattering Theory*, Springer, New York, 1989.
- [G-R] I. Gradshteyn, I. Ryzhik, *Tables of Integrals, Series and Products*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [H-N] G. M. Henkin, R. G. Novikov, $\bar{\partial}$ -equation in the multi-dimensional inverse scattering problem, Usp. Mat. Nauk **42**(1987), 93-152.
- [Na] A. Nachman, *Reconstruction from boundary measurements*, Ann. Math. **128**(1988), 531–576.
- [No] R. G. Novikov, *Multidimensional inverse spectral problems for the equation $-\Delta\psi + (v(x) - Eu(x))\psi = 0$* , Funkt. Analizi i Ego Prilozheniya, **22**(4)(1988), 11-22; Translation in Funct. Anal. and its Appl. **22**(4)(1988), 263-272.
- [R1] A. G. Ramm, *Recovery of the potential from fixed-energy scattering data*, Inverse Probl. **4**(1988), 877–886.

- [R2] A. G. Ramm, *Multidimensional Inverse Scattering Problems*, Longman, New York, 1992.
- [R3] A. G. Ramm, *Completeness of the products of solutions to PDE and inverse problems*, Inverse Probl. **5**(1990), 641–664.
- [R4] A. G. Ramm, *Stability estimates in inverse scattering*, Acta Appl. Math., 28, N1, (1992), 1-42.
- [R5] A. G. Ramm, *Multidimensional inverse problems and completeness of the products of solutions to PDE*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **136**(1)(1988), 211–253; **136**(1988), 568–574.
- [R6] A. G. Ramm, *Completeness of the products to PDE and uniqueness theorems in inverse scattering*, Inverse Probl. **3**(1987), L77–L82.
- [R7] A. G. Ramm, *On completeness of the products of harmonic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **99**(1986), 253–256.
- [R8] A. G. Ramm, *Spectral properties of the Schrödinger operators in some infinite domains*, Matem. Sborn. **66**(1965), 321–343.
- [R9] A. G. Ramm, *Random Fields Estimation Theory*, Longman, New York, 1990.
- [R-S] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, IV*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [S1] P. Stefanov, *A uniqueness result for the inverse back-scattering problem*, Inverse Probl. **6**(1990), 1055–1064.
- [S2] P. Stefanov, *Generic uniqueness for two inverse problems in potential scattering*, Commun. P.D.E. **17**(1992), 55–68.
- [S-U1] J. Sylvester, G. Uhlmann, *Global uniqueness theorem for an inverse boundary value problem*, Ann. Math. **125**(1987), 153–169.
- [S-U2] J. Sylvester, G. Uhlmann, *The Dirichlet-to-Neumann map and applications*, in Inverse Problems for Partial Differential Equations, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1990, 99-139.
- [W1] R. Weder, *Generalized limiting absorption method and multidimensional inverse scattering theory*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. **14**(1991), 509-524.
- [W2] R. Weder, *Global uniqueness at fixed energy in multidimensional inverse scattering theory*, Inverse Probl. **7**(1991), 927–938.