

Appl.Math.Lett 5,N5,(1992),87-88

A three-dimensional Ambartsumian-type theorem

A. G. Ramm
Mathematics Department
Kansas State University
Manhattan, Kansas 66506, USA

P. D. Stefanov
Institute of Mathematics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
1090 Sofia, Bulgaria

Abstract

We prove that if the Neumann eigenvalues of the operator $-\Delta + q(x)$ in a bounded domain coincide with those of the Neumann Laplacian, then $q = 0$.

1 Introduction

One of the first uniqueness theorems for inverse problems is Ambartsumian's theorem [1] (see also [4, pp. 8, 292]). This theorem says, that if q is a real-valued sufficiently smooth potential ($q \in \text{Lip } \alpha$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ suffices), and $\lambda_n = n^2$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, then $q = 0$. Here $\lambda = \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of the problem

$$-y'' + q(x)y = \lambda y, \quad 0 \leq x \leq \pi; \quad y'(0) = y'(\pi) = 0. \quad (1)$$

This result is of interest because in general $q(x)$ is not uniquely determined by the spectrum. For example, two spectra for two various boundary conditions determine $q(x)$ (see [3, p. 241] and [4, p. 293]) for details.

The purpose of this paper is to state and prove a three-dimensional generalization of the above result. Our argument is based on the usual scheme presented in [4, p. 293] and on a technical result of Grinberg [2], which gives a trace formula for the three-dimensional analogue of (1). Namely, let $D \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\Gamma \in C^3$

and let $q(x)$, $x \in D$ be a real-valued function, $q \in \text{Lip } \alpha$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Denote by $\lambda_n(q)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$, the Neumann eigenvalues of $-\Delta + q$ in D , counted according to their multiplicities. Denote $\mu_n := \lambda_n(0)$, i.e. $\mu_n = \lambda_n$ for $q = 0$.

Our result is:

Theorem 1 *If $\lambda_n(q) = \mu_n$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, then $q = 0$.*

In Section 2 we prove Theorem 1.

Remark 1 This result remains valid under the weaker assumptions:

$$\lambda_0(q) = \mu_0 (= 0) \quad , \quad |\lambda_n(q) - \mu_n| \leq Cn^{-\alpha}, \quad \alpha > 0. \quad (2)$$

2 Proofs

The proof consists of three steps.

Step 1 From the assumption $\lambda_n(q) = \mu_n$ we deduce that

$$\int_D q(x) dx = 0.$$

Indeed, according to [2, Theorem 1], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\lambda_n(q) + p]^{-2} &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \text{Vol}(D)p^{-1/2} + \frac{1}{16\pi} \text{Area}(\Gamma)p^{-1} \\ &+ \frac{1}{24\pi} \left[\int_{\Gamma} h(x) dS_x - \frac{3}{2} \int_D q(x) dx \right] p^{-3/2} + O(p^{-2}), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Here $h(x)$ is the mean curvature of Γ at $x \in \Gamma$. For $q = 0$ we have accordingly

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\mu_n + p]^{-2} &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \text{Vol}(D)p^{-1/2} + \frac{1}{16\pi} \text{Area}(\Gamma)p^{-1} \\ &+ \frac{1}{24\pi} \int_{\Gamma} h(x) dS_x p^{-3/2} + O(p^{-2}). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Let us assume that $\lambda_n(q) = \mu_n$. Then we get from (3) and (4) that

$$\int_D q(x) dx = 0. \quad (5)$$

Next, we are going to prove that the weaker assumption (2) is sufficient to get (5). Indeed, assume (2). Then, instead of (3) it is more convenient to use Corollary 1 of [2], which, restricted to our case implies that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n [\mu_k - \lambda_k(q)] = - \int_D q(x) dx \operatorname{Vol}(D)^{-1}n + o(n), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \int_D q(x) dx \operatorname{Vol}(D)^{-1}n \right| \leq o(n) + C \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-\alpha}.$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $\alpha < 1$. Then

$$\left| \int_D q(x) dx \operatorname{Vol}(D)^{-1}n \right| \leq o(n) + O(n^{1-\alpha})$$

Therefore, the right hand side above is $o(n)$. Hence (5) holds in this case as well.

Step 2 From the variational definition of λ_1

$$\lambda_1 = \inf_{u \in H^1(D)} \left\{ \int_D [|\nabla u|^2 + q|u|^2] dx \Big/ \int_D |u|^2 dx \right\} \quad (6)$$

it follows that $u(x) = 1$ is an eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = 0$.

Indeed, our assumption $\lambda_n(q) = \mu_n$ implies that in particular $\lambda_1 = \mu_1 = 0$, therefore $\lambda_1 = 0$ is the first eigenvalue. Since at $u = 1$ the functional in (6) attains its infimum according to (5), it follows that $u = 1$ is an eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = 0$.

Step 3 From the equation $(-\Delta + q)u = \lambda u$ with $\lambda = 0$ and $u = 1$ we conclude that $q = 0$.

Acknowledgments. AGR thanks ONR, NSF and USIEF for support. PDS thanks BSF and NSF for support.

References

- [1] V. Ambartsumian, *Über eine Frage der Eigenwerttheorie*, Zeit. für Phys. **53**(1929), 690–695.
- [2] S. Grinberg, *Trace formula for the Schrödinger equation in a bounded domain*, Mat. Zametki, **1**(4)(1967), 451–460.
- [3] V. Marchenko, *Sturm-Liouville Operators and Applications*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1986.
- [4] A. G. Ramm, *Multidimensional Inverse Scattering Problems*, Longman, New York, 1992.