

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

An inverse problem for Helmholtz's equation II

A G Ramm

Mathematics Department, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506, USA

Received 23 April 1987

Abstract. A method is given for recovery of $n(z)$ and $h(k)$ in

$$[\nabla^2 + k^2 n(z)]u = -\delta(x)h(k) \quad \text{in } R^3$$

from the surface data.

Let

$$[\nabla^2 + k^2 n(z)]u = -\delta(x)h(k) \quad \text{in } R^3 \quad (1)$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, z)$, the refraction coefficient $n(z) = 1$ for $z > 0$ and is unknown for $z < 0$, $\delta(x)$ is the delta function describing the point source of acoustic waves and $h(k)$ is an unknown function that describes the frequency dependence of the wave source (or the shape of the wavelet in the time domain).

The problem. Given $u(x_1, x_2, 0, k)$ for all $-\infty < x_1, x_2 < \infty$ and all $k > 0$, find $n(z)$ for $z < 0$ and $h(k)$ for $k > 0$.

We assume that $h(-k) = \overline{h(k)}$, $u(x, -k) = \overline{u(x, k)}$, where the bar stands for the complex conjugate, and that the unknown function $n(z)$ for $z < 0$ satisfies the following conditions

$$n(z) \in L_{loc}^2 \quad |n(z)| \leq C(1 + |z|^m) \quad \text{for } z \rightarrow -\infty \quad (2)$$

where $m \geq 0$ is a constant and C stands for various positive constants. Let \tilde{L}_1 denote the set of functions $h(k)$ that are the Fourier transforms of real-valued functions $H(t) \in L_1(0, \infty)$. Let us assume that (see Remark below)

$$h(k) \in \tilde{L}_1 \quad h(0) \neq 0. \quad (3)$$

Let us describe a method for solving the problem. The method is based on the ideas and results in [1, 2].

Let us Fourier transform (1) in (x_1, x_2) to get

$$\tilde{u}'' - \lambda^2 \tilde{u} + k^2 n(z)\tilde{u} = -\delta(z)h(k), \quad (4)$$

where

$$\tilde{u} := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp[i(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2)] u(x_1, x_2, z, k) dx_1 dx_2,$$

$$\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \quad \lambda = (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2)^{1/2}.$$

Let us write (4) as

$$\tilde{u} = h(k)g + k^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(z-z')n(z')\tilde{u} dz' \quad g := \frac{\exp(-\lambda|z|)}{2\lambda}. \quad (5)$$

Equation (5) is uniquely solvable by iterations if k is sufficiently small (see [1] for details and estimates). Therefore

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} (\tilde{u} - h(k)g)k^{-2} = h(0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\exp(-\lambda|z-z'|)}{2\lambda} n(z') \frac{\exp(-\lambda|z'|)}{2\lambda} dz'. \quad (6)$$

Let us denote the left side of (6) at $z=0$ by $\varphi(\lambda)$. This is a known function since $\tilde{u}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, 0, k)$ is known. Equation (6) at $z=0$ reads

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-2\lambda|z'|)n(z') dz' = \psi(\lambda) := 4\lambda^2\varphi(\lambda)A \quad A := h^{-1}(0). \quad (7)$$

Since $n(z) = 1$ for $z > 0$ by the assumption, one can write (7) as

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 \exp(-2\lambda|z'|)n(z') dz' = 4\lambda^2\varphi(\lambda)A - (2\lambda)^{-1} \quad \lambda > 0. \quad (8)$$

Finally, set $2\lambda = p$, $z' = -\zeta$, $n(z') = m(\zeta)$, $4\lambda^2\varphi(\lambda) := \psi(p)$ and write (8) as

$$\int_0^{\infty} \exp(-p\zeta)m(\zeta) d\zeta = A\psi(p) - p^{-1} \quad p > 0. \quad (9)$$

Equation (9) determines $m(\zeta)$ uniquely if A is known. From (5) it follows that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \tilde{u}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, 0, k) = h(0)/2\lambda \quad \text{so that } A = [2\lambda \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \tilde{u}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, 0, k)]^{-1}. \quad (10)$$

Thus, given the data $u(x_1, x_2, 0, k)$ one finds $\tilde{u}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, 0, k)$ (by Fourier transform), then A by formula (10), then $m(\zeta)$ by taking the inverse Laplace transform of the right side of (9), then $n(z)$ by the formula $n(z) = m(-z)$, $z < 0$; $h(k)$ can be found for all k as follows. Set $z=0$ in (5) and write (5) as

$$h(k) = 2\lambda\tilde{u}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, 0, k) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-2\lambda|z'|)n(z')\tilde{u}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, z', k) dz'. \quad (11)$$

Let $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$ in (11) then

$$h(k) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} 2\lambda\tilde{u}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, 0, k). \quad (12)$$

Formula (12) gives $h(k)$ directly from the data, so that $h(k)$ is found independently from $n(z)$. This $h(k)$ can be used on the left side of (6).

Let us summarise the results.

Theorem 1. If $n(z) = 1$ for $z > 0$ and conditions (2) and (3) hold, then the data $u(x_1, x_2, 0, k)$ determine $n(z)$ for $z < 0$ and $h(k)$ uniquely. The analytical recovery of $n(z)$ and $h(k)$ is described above and is based on the formulae (9), (10) and (12).

A similar argument is applicable in the three-dimensional case. Namely, assume that

$$[\nabla^2 + k^2 + k^2v(x)]u(x, y, k) = -\delta(x-y)h(k) \quad \text{in } R^3 \quad (13)$$

where $v(x) \in L^2$ and $v(x) = 0$ for $x_3 \geq 0$ or $|x| \leq r$, where $r > 0$ is an arbitrary large number. Suppose that the data are the values $u(x_1, x_2, x_3 = 0, y_1, y_2, y_3 = 0, k)$ given for all $x^1, y^1 \in P$ and $k > 0$. Here $x^1 = (x_1, x_2, 0)$, $P = \{x: x_3 = 0\}$.

The integral equation for u is

$$u(x, y, k) = h(k)G(x, y, k) + k^2 \int G(x, \xi, k)v(\xi)u(\xi, y, k) d\xi \quad (14)$$

where $G := \exp(ik|x-y|)/4\pi|x-y|$. One can solve equation (14) by iterations for sufficiently small k and prove that (see [2, p 218])

$$(4\pi)^2 \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{u - h(k)G}{k^2} = h(0) \int \frac{v(\xi) d\xi}{|x - \xi||\xi - y|}. \quad (15)$$

Set $x = x^1, y = y^1$ in (15). Then the left side of (15), which we denote by $f(x^1, y^1)$, is known. If $h^{-1}(0) := A$ is known, then equation (15) for $x = x^1$ and $y = y^1$ becomes

$$\int \frac{v(\xi) d\xi}{|x^1 - \xi||y^1 - \xi|} = Af(x^1, y^1) \quad \forall x^1, y^1 \in P. \quad (16)$$

Equation (16) is solved analytically for $v(\xi)$ in [2, pp 220–2] where it is proved that $v(\xi)$ is determined uniquely by the data on the right side of (16). For A one derives from (14) the formula

$$A = \lim_{x^1 \rightarrow y^1} [4\pi|x^1 - y^1|u(x^1, y^1, 0)]^{-1}. \quad (17)$$

For $h(k)$ one derives from (14) the formula

$$h(k) = \lim_{x^1 \rightarrow y^1} [4\pi|x^1 - y^1|u(x^1, y^1, k)]. \quad (18)$$

The remark below formula (12) applies here as well. Let us summarise the result.

Theorem 2. The functions $v(x)$ and $h(k)$ are uniquely determined by the data $u(x^1, y^1, k)$ known for all $x^1, y^1 \in P$ and all $k > 0$. The analytical recovery of $v(x)$ and $h(k)$ is based on the formulae (16)–(18).

Remark. The assumption $h(0) \neq 0$ can be removed. If $h^{(j)}(0) = 0, 0 \leq j \leq N-1, h^{(N)}(0) \neq 0$, then let $k^{-N}\tilde{u} := \tilde{w}, k^{-N}h(k) := h_N(k), h_N(0) \neq 0$. The argument below formula (4) is applicable to $\tilde{w}(k)$. A similar remark holds in the 3D case. Finally, note that in practice $H(t)$ vanishes for large t . In this case $h(k)$ is analytic and cannot have zero of infinite order at $k=0$, so that there is an N such that $h^{(N)}(0) \neq 0$.

The author thanks ONR for support.

References

- [1] Ramm A G 1987 An inverse problem for the Helmholtz equation in a semi-infinite medium *Inverse Problems* **3** L19–22
- [2] Ramm A G 1986 *Scattering by Obstacles* (Dordrecht: Reidel)