

# AN EGG-YOLK PRINCIPLE AND EXPONENTIAL INTEGRABILITY FOR QUASIREGULAR MAPPINGS

PIETRO POGGI-CORRADINI AND KAI RAJALA

ABSTRACT. Quasiregular mappings  $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  are a natural generalization of analytic functions from complex analysis and provide a theory which is rich with new phenomena. In this paper we extend a well-known result of A. Chang and D. Marshall on exponential integrability of analytic functions in the disk, to the case of quasiregular mappings defined in the unit ball of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . To this end, we first establish an “egg-yolk” principle for such maps, which extends a recent result of the first author. Our work leaves open an interesting problem regarding  $n$ -harmonic functions.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We will denote an  $n$ -dimensional ball with center  $a$  and radius  $r$  by  $\mathbb{B}^n(a, r)$ . The unit ball is  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . Sometimes the notation  $r\mathbb{B}^n$  for  $\mathbb{B}^n(0, r)$  is used. Similarly, the notations  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(a, r)$  and  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  for the corresponding  $(n-1)$ -spheres will be used, respectively. The  $s$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure will be denoted by  $\mathcal{H}_s$ . The volume of  $\mathbb{B}^n$  is denoted by  $\alpha_n$ , and the  $(n-1)$ -measure of  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  by  $\omega_{n-1}$ .

A mapping  $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is called **quasiregular (qr)** if it belongs to the Sobolev class  $W_{loc}^{1,n}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ , and, for some  $K \geq 1$ , it satisfies the distortion inequality

$$\|Df(x)\|^n \leq KJ(x, f)$$

for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ , where  $\|Df(x)\|$  is the operator norm of the matrix derivative  $Df(x) = \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}\right)_{i,j=1}^n$ , which is well-defined for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $J(x, f)$  is the Jacobian determinant of  $f$  at  $x$ , i.e.,  $J(x, f) = \det Df(x)$ . It is well-known that quasiregular mappings are continuous and almost everywhere differentiable, and, when non-constant, they are open and discrete. Also when  $n = 2$  and  $K = 1$  they are analytic functions. They provide a fruitful generalization of classical function theory to higher (real) dimensional spaces. We refer to [Res89] and [Ric93] for the basic theory of quasiregular mappings. The theory of these mappings is often referred to, in colorful language, as the **quasiworld**.

The purpose of this paper is twofold. We extend the exponential integrability result of [CM85] to the quasiworld. But, to do this, we also need to extend an “egg-yolk principle for

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C80, 30C65.

The second author was supported by the Academy of Finland. Part of this research was done when the second author was visiting the University of Cincinnati and the University of Michigan and the first author was visiting the University of Michigan. We wish to thank both departments for their hospitality.

the inverse map” conjectured by D. Marshall in [Mar89], which has been shown to hold in the classical case in [PC].

**1.1. Exponential integrability.** The following result is proved in [CM85].

**Theorem A** (Chang-Marshall [CM85]). *There is a universal constant  $C < \infty$  so that if  $f$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$ ,  $f(0) = 0$ , and*

$$(1.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 dA(z)/\pi \leq 1,$$

then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \exp(|f^*(e^{i\theta})|^2) d\theta \leq C.$$

where  $f^*$  is the trace of  $f$  on  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ , i.e.,  $f^*(\zeta) = \lim_{t \uparrow 1} f(t\zeta)$  for  $\mathcal{H}_1$ -a.e.  $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ .

This result is moreover “sharp”. Indeed, even though for any given  $\beta > 0$  and any analytic function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ , satisfying  $f(0) = 0$  and (1.1), the integral

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \exp(\beta |f^*(e^{i\theta})|^2) d\theta$$

is finite, there is a family of functions, the Beurling functions

$$B_a(z) = \left( \log \frac{1}{1-az} \right) \left( \log \frac{1}{1-a^2} \right)^{\frac{-1}{2}} \quad 0 < a < 1$$

that are analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$ , satisfy  $B_a(0) = 0$  and (1.1), with the property that for any given  $\alpha > 1$ , one can choose  $a$  so that the integral

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \exp(\alpha |B_a(e^{i\theta})|^2) d\theta$$

is as large as desired.

In this paper we extend the Chang-Marshall result to quasiregular mappings.

**Theorem 1.1.** *There exists a constant  $C = C(n, K) < \infty$  so that if  $f : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , is a  $K$ -quasiregular mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  and*

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} J(x, f) dx \leq \alpha_n,$$

then

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \exp \left( (n-1) \left( \frac{n}{2K} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} |f^*(\zeta)|^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \right) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(\zeta) \leq C,$$

where  $f^*$  is the trace of  $f$  on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , i.e.,  $f^*(\zeta) = \lim_{t \uparrow 1} f(t\zeta)$  for  $\mathcal{H}_{n-1}$ -a.e.  $\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .

The trace  $f^*$  in Theorem 1.1 is well-defined, since a quasiregular mapping  $f : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying (1.2) has radial limits at almost every  $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , see [Ric93], VII Theorem 2.7.

For a mapping satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1.1,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \exp\left(\beta |f^*(\zeta)|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}\right) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(\zeta) < \infty$$

for every  $\beta > 0$ . This is a consequence of Theorem 1.5 as will be shown at the end of Section 5.

Theorem 1.1 is sharp for  $n = 2$ , in the sense that for any  $K \geq 1$  the constant  $K^{-1}$  cannot be improved on. To see this, first map the unit disk onto the upper half plane by a Möbius transformation, so that  $(1, 0)$  is mapped to the origin. Then apply the radial stretching  $z \mapsto z|z|^{K-1}$ , which is a  $K$ -quasiconformal map, and map back to the disk. Finally, apply the Beurling functions  $B_a$ . The compositions of these maps,  $B_{K,a}$ , are  $K$ -quasiregular maps satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, and for each  $\beta > K^{-1}$ ,

$$\sup_{0 < a < 1} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp(\beta |B_{K,a}^*(e^{i\theta})|^2) d\theta = \infty.$$

In dimensions higher than two the situation is different. Indeed, by the Liouville theorem of Gehring and Reshetnyak, see [Res89], Theorem 5.10, 1-quasiregular mappings in dimensions three or higher are Möbius transformations. Moreover, the  $L^\infty$ -norm of a Möbius transformation satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 is bounded by two. We expect that the constant  $(n-1) \left(\frac{n}{2K}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$  is not sharp for any  $n \geq 3$  and any  $K \geq 1$ . In particular, it would be interesting to determine whether the sharp constant stays bounded as  $n$  tends to infinity. Spatial maps that are similar to the Beurling functions can be constructed by using cylinder maps ( $K$ -quasiconformal maps mapping  $\mathbb{B}^n$  onto an infinite cylinder). The best dilatation constant  $K$  for cylinder maps is not known, see [GV65], Section 8.

**1.2. Further remarks.** The Chang-Marshall theorem has the following two corollaries for harmonic and Sobolev functions.

**Corollary D.** *There is a universal constant  $C < \infty$  so that if  $u : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is harmonic with  $u(0) = 0$  and*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla u(z)|^2 dA(z)/\pi \leq 1,$$

then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \exp(u^*(e^{i\theta})^2) d\theta \leq C$$

where  $u^*$  is the trace of  $u$  on  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ , i.e.,  $u^*(\zeta) = \lim_{t \uparrow 1} u(t\zeta) = u^*(\zeta)$  for  $\mathcal{H}_1$ -a.e.  $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{u}$  be the harmonic conjugate of  $u$  such that  $\tilde{u}(0) = 0$ . Then  $f = u + i\tilde{u}$  satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem C, since  $|f'| = |\nabla u|$ . So

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \exp(u^*(e^{i\theta})^2) d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \exp(u^*(e^{i\theta})^2 + \tilde{u}^*(e^{i\theta})^2) d\theta \leq C.$$

□

**Corollary E.** *There is a universal constant  $C < \infty$  so that if  $v \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$  with  $\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} v^*(e^{i\theta}) d\theta = 0$  and*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla v(z)|^2 dA(z)/\pi \leq 1,$$

then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \exp(v^*(e^{i\theta})^2) d\theta \leq C$$

where  $v^*$  is the Sobolev trace of  $v$  on  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ .

For the concept of Sobolev trace see [Zie89], pages 189–191.

*Proof.* Let  $v^*$  be the trace of  $v$  on the circle  $\partial\mathbb{D}$ . Solve the Dirichlet problem with these boundary values, to get  $u$  harmonic in  $\mathbb{D}$  with

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla u|^2 dA/\pi \leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla v|^2 dA/\pi \leq 1.$$

Then Corollary D implies  $\int_0^{2\pi} \exp(u^*(e^{i\theta})^2) d\theta \leq C$ , but  $u^* = v^*$ . So the same is true for  $v^*$ .  $\square$

*Remark 1.2.* In terms of statements we have:

$$\text{Theorem A} \implies \text{Corollary D} \iff \text{Corollary E}$$

Corollary E could possibly be proved by ‘‘Sobolev’’ methods, see for instance the similar Theorem 3.2.1 of [AH96]. When a seemingly stronger normalization

$$\int_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{B}^n} u(x) dx = 0$$

is assumed, the techniques below can be used to prove results like Corollary E in all dimensions, see comments at the end of Section 4.

*Remark 1.3.* Condition (1.1) says that the Euclidean area of  $f(\mathbb{D})$  counting multiplicity is less or equal to  $\pi$ . In [Ess87] it is shown that (1.1) can be replaced by the condition that the area of the set  $f(\mathbb{D})$  is less or equal to  $\pi$ , without counting multiplicity.

**1.3. Open Questions.** In view of Corollary D we ask:

**Question 1.4.** What is the best constant  $\beta$  for which there exists  $C > 0$  so that if  $u \in W^{1,n}(\mathbb{B}^n)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , is  $n$ -harmonic on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ ,  $u(0) = 0$ , and

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\nabla u(x)|^n dx \leq \alpha_n,$$

then

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \exp\left(\beta |u^*(\zeta)|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}\right) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(\zeta) \leq C?$$

**1.4. Beurling's estimate.** In [Mar89], Don Marshall deduces Theorem A from an estimate of Beurling, Theorem B below. We denote  $E_t = \{x \in \mathbb{B}^n : |f(x)| = t\}$ , and  $F_s^* = \{\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} : |f(\theta)| > s\}$ . The following is an unpublished estimate of A. Beurling which is stated and proved in [Mar89]. Here ‘‘Cap’’ denotes logarithmic capacity.

**Theorem B** (Beurling). *Suppose  $f$  is analytic in a neighborhood of  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  and suppose that  $|f(z)| \leq M$  for  $|z| \leq r < 1$ , for some  $0 < r < 1$ . Then, for every  $s > M$ ,*

$$\text{Cap } F_s^* \leq r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\pi \int_M^s \frac{dt}{|f(E_t)|}\right)$$

where  $|f(E_t)|$  denotes the length of  $f(E_t)$  counting multiplicity.

We establish a similar estimate in space. For a quasiregular map  $f : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , we denote the  $(n-1)$ -measure of  $f(E_t)$  counting multiplicity by  $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}f(E_t)$ ;

$$\mathcal{A}_{n-1}f(E_t) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(0,t)} \text{card } f^{-1}(y) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(y).$$

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $f$  be a  $K$ -quasiregular mapping defined in a neighborhood of  $\overline{\mathbb{B}^n}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and suppose that  $|f(x)| \leq M$  for  $|x| \leq r < 1$ . Then, for every  $s > M$ ,*

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{H}_{n-1}(F_s^*) \leq C_1 \exp\left((1-n) \left(\frac{\omega_{n-1}}{2K}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \int_M^s \frac{dt}{(\mathcal{A}_{n-1}f(E_t))^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}\right),$$

where  $C_1$  depends only on  $n$ ,  $K$  and  $r$ .

**1.5. An egg-yolk principle for the inverse.** In [Mar89], Don Marshall conjectures an egg-yolk principle that would have simplified his argument for passing from Theorem B to Theorem A. This was proved in [PC] by the first author.

**Theorem C** ([PC]). *There is a universal constant  $0 < r_0 < 1$  such that whenever  $f$  is analytic on  $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  with  $f(0) = 0$ , and whenever  $M > 0$  is such that*

$$\int_{\{z \in \mathbb{D} : |f(z)| < M\}} |f'(z)|^2 dA(z) < \pi M^2,$$

then we have that  $|z| < r_0$  implies  $|f(z)| < M$ .

Here we prove that Theorem C extends to quasiregular maps, and this will allow us to deduce Theorem 1.1 from Theorem 1.5.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Given  $n \geq 2$  and  $K \geq 1$ , there exists a constant  $0 < r_0(n, K) < 1$ , so that whenever  $f : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a  $K$ -quasiregular mapping with  $f(0) = 0$  and whenever  $M > 0$  is such that*

$$(1.4) \quad \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{B}^n : |f(x)| < M\}} J(x, f) dx < \alpha_n M^n,$$

then we have that  $|x| < r_0$  implies  $|f(x)| < M$ .

Theorem 1.6 is equivalent to the following.

**Corollary 1.7.** *For  $n \geq 2$  and  $K \geq 1$ , there exists a constant  $0 < r_0(n, K) < 1$  so that if  $f : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a  $K$ -quasiregular mapping with  $f(0) = 0$ , then  $0 \leq M < \max_{|x| \leq r_0} |f(x)|$  implies*

$$\int_{\{x \in \mathbb{B}^n : |f(x)| < M\}} J(x, f) dx \geq \alpha_n M^n.$$

Theorem 1.6 no longer holds true if instead of (1.4) it is assumed that  $\mathbb{B}^n \setminus f(\mathbb{B}^n) \neq \emptyset$ , see [PC], Remark 1.5.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Pekka Koskela for useful discussions.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.6

We first recall the classical (conformal) modulus for path families in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a family of paths  $\gamma$ , i.e., continuous functions  $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $I = [a, b]$  or  $[a, b)$ . We say that a Borel measurable function  $\rho : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is **admissible** for  $\Gamma$  if

$$\int_{\gamma} \rho ds \geq 1 \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Then the modulus of  $\Gamma$  is

$$\text{Mod } \Gamma := \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(x)^n dx : \rho \text{ admissible} \right\}.$$

We recall two classical results concerning conformal modulus.

**Lemma 2.1** (Poletsky's inequality, [Ric93], II Theorem 8.1). *Let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a non-constant  $K$ -quasiregular mapping, and  $\Gamma$  a family of paths in  $\Omega$ . Then*

$$\text{Mod } f\Gamma \leq K^{n-1} \text{Mod } \Gamma.$$

**Lemma 2.2** ([Väi71], Theorem 10.12). *Suppose that  $J$  is a measurable set of radii, and  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . For each  $r \in J$ , consider distinct points  $a_r, b_r$  in  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(p, r)$ . Set*

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(p, r) \mid r \in J, \gamma \text{ connects } a_r \text{ and } b_r\}.$$

Then

$$\text{Mod } \Gamma \geq c_n \int_J \frac{dr}{r},$$

where  $c_n > 0$  only depends on  $n$ .

Let  $f$  satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1.6. We lose no generality by assuming  $M = 1$ . Let  $\delta$  denote the largest radius so that

$$f(\mathbb{B}^n(0, \delta)) \subset \mathbb{B}^n.$$

In order to prove Theorem 1.6 we need to show that  $\delta \geq C(n, K)$ . Also, we let

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 &= \mathbb{B}^n \setminus f(\mathbb{B}^n), \\ F_1 &= \{y \in \mathbb{B}^n : \text{card } f^{-1}(y) = 1\}, \\ F_m &= \{y \in \mathbb{B}^n : \text{card } f^{-1}(y) \geq 2\} = \mathbb{B}^n \setminus (F_0 \cup F_1). \end{aligned}$$

By (1.4) and a change of variables, we have

$$\alpha_n > \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{B}^n : f(x) \in \mathbb{B}^n\}} J(x, f) dx = \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \text{card } f^{-1}(y) dy.$$

Therefore  $F_0 \neq \emptyset$ .

We first prove Theorem 1.6 under the assumption

$$(2.1) \quad |F_0| \geq \alpha_n 100^{-n}.$$

We denote by  $T$  the set of those radii  $0 < r < 1$  for which

$$\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(0, r) \cap F_0 \neq \emptyset.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Assume that (2.1) holds true. Then*

$$\int_T \frac{dr}{r} \geq n^{-1} 100^{-n}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $r < 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T \frac{dr}{r} &= \omega_{n-1}^{-1} \int_T \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(0, r)} r^{-n} d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} dr \geq \omega_{n-1}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{\{|y| \in T\}}(x) dx \\ &= \omega_{n-1}^{-1} |\{y : |y| \in T\}| \geq \omega_{n-1}^{-1} |F_0| \geq \alpha_n \omega_{n-1}^{-1} 100^{-n} = n^{-1} 100^{-n}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 2.4.** *Theorem 1.6 holds true under assumption (2.1).*

*Proof.* By definition of  $T$ , for each  $r \in T$ , we can choose points  $q_r \in F_0 \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(0, r)$ . Also, since  $\overline{f(\mathbb{B}^n(0, \delta))}$  is a connected set containing 0 and a point in  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , for each  $r \in T$ , we can choose points  $a_r \in \mathbb{B}^n(0, \delta)$  such that  $f(a_r) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(0, r)$ . Then, for every path  $\gamma$  starting at  $f(a_r)$  and joining  $f(a_r)$  to  $q_r$  in  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(0, r)$ , every maximal lift  $\gamma'$  of  $\gamma$  starting at  $a_r$  accumulates on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  (see [Ric93], II.3 for the definition of a maximal lift). Hence, if we denote the family of all such lifts, for any  $r \in T$ , by  $\Gamma$ , we have

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Mod } \Gamma \leq \omega_{n-1} (\log \delta^{-1})^{1-n}.$$

On the other hand, by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3,

$$(2.3) \quad \text{Mod } f\Gamma \geq c_n \int_T \frac{dr}{r} \geq c_n n^{-1} 100^{-n}.$$

By combining (2.2), (2.3) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$c_n n^{-1} 100^{-n} \leq K^{n-1} \omega_{n-1} (\log \delta^{-1})^{1-n},$$

Thus Theorem 1.6 holds in this case with

$$r_0(n, K) = \exp\left(-\left(100^n c_n^{-1} n K^{n-1} \omega_{n-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\right).$$

□

We now treat the case when (2.1) fails. First we establish a geometric lemma.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Fix  $q \in F_0$ . Then there exists a point  $w \in \mathbb{B}^n$ , and  $1/4 \leq s < 1$ , such that for all  $r \in (s, \sqrt{3}s)$ , we have  $q \in \mathbb{B}^n(w, r)$  and  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(w, r) \cap f(\mathbb{B}^n(0, \delta)) \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* First assume  $|q| \leq 1/2$ . Then, since  $\overline{f(\mathbb{B}^n(0, \delta))}$  is a connected set containing 0 and a point in  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ ,

$$\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(0, r) \cap f(\mathbb{B}^n(0, \delta)) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall r \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right).$$

Hence we may choose  $w = 0$ ,  $s = 1/2$ .

Thus assume  $|q| > 1/2$ . Choose  $p \in \mathbb{B}^n(0, \delta)$  such that  $|f(p)| = |q|$ . Consider the triangle with vertices 0,  $f(p)$  and  $q/2$ . Then, if the angle of the triangle at  $q/2$  is less than  $\pi/2$ , we have, for each  $r \in (|q|/2, \sqrt{3}|q|/2)$ ,

$$0, q \in \mathbb{B}^n(q/2, r), \quad f(p) \notin \mathbb{B}^n(q/2, r).$$

Since  $0, f(p) \in f(\mathbb{B}^n(0, \delta))$ , there exists, for each such  $r$ , a point  $\eta_r \in \mathbb{B}^n(0, \delta)$  such that  $f(\eta_r) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(q/2, r)$ . Hence we may choose  $w = q/2$  and  $s = |q|/2 > 1/4$  in this case.

If the angle is greater than or equal to  $\pi/2$ , we have, for each  $r \in (|q|/2, \sqrt{3}|q|/2)$ ,

$$f(p), q \in \mathbb{B}^n\left(\frac{f(p) + q}{2}, r\right), \quad 0 \notin \mathbb{B}^n\left(\frac{f(p) + q}{2}, r\right).$$

Hence we may in this case choose  $w = (f(p) + q)/2$  and  $s = |q|/2$ . □

Let  $q$ ,  $w$ , and  $s$  be as in Lemma 2.5. We denote by  $G$  the set of all radii  $r \in (s, \sqrt{3}s)$  for which

$$F_1 \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(w, r) \neq \emptyset.$$

**Lemma 2.6.** *If (2.1) fails, then*

$$\int_G \frac{dr}{r} \geq n^{-1} 100^{-n}.$$

*Proof.* As in Lemma 2.3, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \int_G \frac{dr}{r} \geq \omega_{n-1}^{-1} \int_G \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(w, r)} d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} r^{-n} dr \geq \omega_{n-1}^{-1} |F_1 \cap (\mathbb{B}^n(w, \sqrt{3}s) \setminus \overline{\mathbb{B}^n}(w, s))|.$$

By our assumption (1.4) and a change of variables,

$$|F_1| + 2|F_m| \leq \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} \text{card } f^{-1}(y) dy = \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{B}^n : f(x) \in \mathbb{B}^n\}} J(x, f) dx < \alpha_n = |F_0| + |F_1| + |F_m|.$$

So

$$(2.5) \quad |F_m| \leq |F_0| \leq \alpha_n 100^{-n},$$

where the last inequality holds true since we assume the converse of (2.1).

On the other hand, since  $w \in \mathbb{B}^n$  and  $s \geq 1/4$ , we have

$$(2.6) \quad |(\mathbb{B}^n(w, \sqrt{3}s) \setminus \overline{\mathbb{B}^n}(w, s)) \cap \mathbb{B}^n| \geq \alpha_n 10^{-n},$$

and combining (2.5) and (2.6) yields

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} |F_1 \cap (\mathbb{B}^n(w, \sqrt{3}s) \setminus \overline{\mathbb{B}^n}(w, s))| &= |(\mathbb{B}^n(w, \sqrt{3}s) \setminus \overline{\mathbb{B}^n}(w, s)) \cap \mathbb{B}^n| \\ &- |(\mathbb{B}^n(w, \sqrt{3}s) \setminus \overline{\mathbb{B}^n}(w, s)) \cap (F_0 \cup F_m)| \geq \alpha_n 100^{-n}. \end{aligned}$$

The Lemma follows by combining (2.4) and (2.7).  $\square$

For each  $r \in G$ , choose points  $p_r \in f^{-1}(F_1)$ ,  $a_r \in \mathbb{B}^n(0, \delta)$  such that

$$f(p_r), f(a_r) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(w, r).$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= \{r \in G : |p_r| \geq \delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\}, \\ G_2 &= \{r \in G : |p_r| < \delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\} = G \setminus G_1. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Lemma 2.6, either (2.1) holds, or else we have one of

$$(2.8) \quad \int_{G_1} \frac{dr}{r} \geq 2^{-1} n^{-1} 100^{-n},$$

or

$$(2.9) \quad \int_{G_2} \frac{dr}{r} \geq 2^{-1} n^{-1} 100^{-n}.$$

**Proposition 2.7.** *Theorem 1.6 holds true under assumption (2.8).*

*Proof.* For each  $r \in G_1$  and each  $\gamma$  starting at  $f(a_r)$  and joining  $f(a_r)$  to  $f(p_r)$  in  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(w, r)$ , consider a maximal lift  $\gamma'$  of  $\gamma$  starting at  $a_r$ . Then, since  $\text{card } f^{-1}(f(p_r)) = 1$ , either  $\gamma'$  accumulates to  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , or  $\gamma'$  ends at  $p_r$ ; in any case,  $\gamma'$  starts at  $\mathbb{B}^n(0, \delta)$  and leaves  $\mathbb{B}^n(0, \delta^{\frac{1}{2}})$ .

Denote the family of all such  $\gamma'$  by  $\Gamma$ . Then we have

$$(2.10) \quad \text{Mod } \Gamma \leq \omega_{n-1} \left( \log \frac{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\delta} \right)^{1-n} = \omega_{n-1} \left( \log \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{1-n}.$$

On the other hand, combining Lemma 2.2 and (2.8) yields

$$(2.11) \quad \text{Mod } f\Gamma \geq c_n 2^{-1} n^{-1} 100^{-n}.$$

Furthermore, combining (2.10), (2.11) and Lemma 2.1 gives

$$c_n 2^{-1} n^{-1} 100^{-n} \leq K^{n-1} \omega_{n-1} \left( \log \delta^{\frac{-1}{2}} \right)^{1-n},$$

Thus Theorem 1.6 holds in this case with

$$r_0(n, K) = \exp \left( -2 \left( 100^n 2 c_n^{-1} n K^{n-1} \omega_{n-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \right).$$

□

In order to finish the proof of Theorem 1.6, we need the following auxiliary result.

**Lemma 2.8.** *For each  $r \in G_2$  there exists  $\tau_r \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(0, \delta^{\frac{1}{4}})$  such that  $f(\tau_r) \in \mathbb{B}^n(w, r)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U_r$  be any component of  $f^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^n(w, r))$  intersecting  $\mathbb{B}^n(0, \delta)$ . Such a component exists by Lemma 2.5. Also, by Lemma 2.5,  $\mathbb{B}^n(w, r) \setminus f(\mathbb{B}^n) \neq \emptyset$ , and hence  $f|_{U_r} : U_r \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^n(w, r)$  is not onto. Thus, by [Ric93], I Lemma 4.7,

$$\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(0, t) \cap U_r \neq \emptyset \quad \forall t \in (\delta, 1).$$

Choose  $k_r \in U_r \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(0, \delta^{\frac{1}{4}})$ , and consider all paths joining  $k_r$  to  $-k_r$  in  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(0, \delta^{\frac{1}{4}})$ . If none of the images of these paths intersects  $\mathbb{B}^n(w, r)$ , we have

$$(2.12) \quad f(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(0, \delta^{\frac{1}{4}})) \subset \mathbb{B}^n(w, r).$$

Since  $f$  is open,

$$\partial f(\mathbb{B}^n(0, \delta^{\frac{1}{4}})) \subset f(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(0, \delta^{\frac{1}{4}})),$$

and since  $f(\mathbb{B}^n(0, \delta^{\frac{1}{4}}))$  is bounded, (2.12) further implies

$$(2.13) \quad f(\mathbb{B}^n(0, \delta^{\frac{1}{4}})) \subset \mathbb{B}^n(w, r).$$

By Lemma 2.5 there are, however, points  $x \in \mathbb{B}^n(0, \delta)$  such that  $f(x) \notin \mathbb{B}^n(w, r)$  which contradicts (2.13). The proof is complete. □

**Proposition 2.9.** *Theorem 1.6 holds true under assumption (2.9).*

*Proof.* For each  $r \in G_2$ , and each  $\gamma$  starting at  $f(\tau_r)$  (where  $\tau_r$  is as in Lemma 2.8) and joining  $f(\tau_r)$  to  $f(p_r)$  in  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(w, r)$ , consider a maximal lift  $\gamma'$  of  $\gamma$  starting at  $\tau_r$ . Then, since  $\text{card } f^{-1}(f(p_r)) = 1$ , either  $\gamma'$  accumulates to  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , or  $\gamma'$  ends at  $p_r$ . We denote the family of all such  $\gamma'$  for which the first case occurs by  $\Gamma_1$ , the family of all  $\gamma'$  for which the second case occurs by  $\Gamma_2$ , and  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ .

Then, since each  $\gamma' \in \Gamma_1$  connects  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(0, \delta^{\frac{1}{4}})$  to  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ ,

$$(2.14) \quad \text{Mod } \Gamma_1 \leq \omega_{n-1} \left( \log \delta^{\frac{-1}{4}} \right)^{1-n}.$$

Similarly, since  $p_r \in \mathbb{B}^n(0, \delta^{\frac{1}{2}})$  for all  $r \in G_2$ ,

$$(2.15) \quad \text{Mod } \Gamma_2 \leq \omega_{n-1} \left( \log \frac{\delta^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)^{1-n} = \omega_{n-1} \left( \log \delta^{-\frac{1}{4}} \right)^{1-n}.$$

By Lemma 2.2 and (2.9),

$$(2.16) \quad \text{Mod } f\Gamma \geq c_n 2^{-1} n^{-1} 100^{-n}.$$

Hence, combining (2.14), (2.15), (2.16) and Lemma 2.1 yields

$$\begin{aligned} c_n 2^{-1} n^{-1} 100^{-n} &\leq \text{Mod } f\Gamma \leq K^{n-1} \text{Mod } \Gamma \leq K^{n-1} (\text{Mod } \Gamma_1 + \text{Mod } \Gamma_2) \\ &\leq 2K^{n-1} \omega_{n-1} \left( \log \delta^{-\frac{1}{4}} \right)^{1-n}, \end{aligned}$$

Thus Theorem 1.6 holds in this case with

$$r_0(n, K) = \exp \left( -4 \left( 100^n 4c_n^{-1} n K^{n-1} \omega_{n-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \right).$$

□

The proof of Theorem 1.6 follows by combining Propositions 2.4, 2.7 and 2.9.

### 3. BEURLING'S MODULUS ESTIMATE

Suppose  $f$  is  $K$ -quasiregular in a neighborhood of the closed unit ball  $\overline{\mathbb{B}^n}$ , and for some fixed  $0 < r < 1$  let  $M := \max_{r\overline{\mathbb{B}^n}} |f|$ . Recall that for  $s > M$  we define  $F_s^* = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} : |f(\zeta)| \geq s\}$  and for  $M < t < s$  we have  $E_t = \{x \in \mathbb{B}^n : |f(x)| = t\}$ . Consider the family  $\Gamma_s$  consisting of the paths in  $\mathbb{B}^n$  starting at  $r\overline{\mathbb{B}^n}$  and ending at  $F_s^*$ . We claim that

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Mod } \Gamma_s \leq K \left( \int_M^s \frac{dt}{(\mathcal{A}_{n-1} f(E_t))^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} \right)^{1-n}.$$

Recall that  $\mathcal{A}_{n-1} f(E_t) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(0,t)} \text{card } f^{-1}(y) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(y)$ .

*Proof.* Set  $\rho : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,

$$\rho(x) = \left( \int_M^s \frac{du}{(\mathcal{A}_{n-1} f(E_u))^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} \right)^{-1} \frac{\|Df(x)\|}{(\mathcal{A}_{n-1} f(E_t))^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} \quad \text{when } |f(x)| = t \in (M, s),$$

and  $\rho(x) = 0$  otherwise. Then, for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma_s$ ,

$$\int_\gamma \rho ds \geq \left( \int_M^s \frac{du}{(\mathcal{A}_{n-1} f(E_u))^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} \right)^{-1} \int_{f(\gamma)} (\mathcal{A}_{n-1} f(E_{|\cdot|}))^{\frac{-1}{n-1}} ds \geq 1.$$

Moreover, if we denote

$$I(M, s) = \int_M^s \frac{du}{(\mathcal{A}_{n-1} f(E_u))^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}$$

and

$$A(M, s) = f^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^n(0, s) \setminus \overline{\mathbb{B}^n(0, M)}),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Mod } \Gamma_s &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(x)^n dx = I(M, s)^{-n} \int_{A(M, s)} \frac{\|Df(x)\|^n}{(\mathcal{A}_{n-1}f(E_{|f(x)|}))^{\frac{n}{n-1}}} dx \\
&\leq KI(M, s)^{-n} \int_{A(M, s)} \frac{J(x, f)}{(\mathcal{A}_{n-1}f(E_{|f(x)|}))^{\frac{n}{n-1}}} dx \\
&= KI(M, s)^{-n} \int_{f(A(M, s))} \frac{\text{card } f^{-1}(y)}{(\mathcal{A}_{n-1}f(E_{|y|}))^{\frac{n}{n-1}}} dy \\
&= KI(M, s)^{-n} \int_M^S (\mathcal{A}_{n-1}f(E_t))^{\frac{-n}{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(0, t)} \text{card } f^{-1}(\varphi) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(\varphi) dt = KI(M, S)^{1-n}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

#### 4. CAPACITY AND SYMMETRIZATION

We recall that a **condenser** is a pair  $(\Omega, K)$  with  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\Omega$  open and  $K$  compact with  $\emptyset \neq K \subset \Omega$ . Also, the **conformal capacity** of  $(\Omega, K)$  is

$$\text{Cap}(\Omega, K) := \inf\{\|\nabla u\|_{L^n(\Omega)}^n : u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), u|_V \geq 1, \text{ for some } V \text{ open, } V \supset K\}$$

where  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is the closure of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  (the smooth functions compactly supported in  $\Omega$ ) in the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \left( \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^n + |\nabla u(x)|^n dx \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

By Proposition II.10.2 of [Ric93], if  $\Gamma(\Omega, K)$  is the family of all paths  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \Omega$  such that  $\gamma(a) \in K$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow b} \gamma(t) \in \partial\Omega$ , then

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Cap}(\Omega, K) = \text{Mod } \Gamma(\Omega, K).$$

We are mainly interested in measuring the sets  $F_s^*$  defined in Section 3, which are compact subsets of  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Therefore, we will fix  $0 < r < 1$  to be determined later, consider the spherical ring  $A(r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : r < |x| < 1/r\}$ ,  $0 < r < 1$ , and compute  $\text{Cap}(A(r), F_s^*)$ .

By the symmetry rule, cf. [GM05] IV(3.4), if  $F \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , we have:

$$(4.2) \quad \text{Mod } \Gamma_s = \frac{1}{2} \text{Mod } \Gamma(A(r), F) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Cap}(A(r), F).$$

Also, if  $F \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , let  $\mathcal{C}(F)$  be the spherical cap centered at  $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$  with  $\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(\mathcal{C}(F)) = \mathcal{H}_{n-1}(F)$ . By spherical symmetrization, see [Geh61],

$$(4.3) \quad \text{Cap}(A(r), \mathcal{C}(F)) \leq \text{Cap}(A(r), F).$$

By [Geh61], Theorem 4, we see that, when  $\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(F) \leq \epsilon(r, n)$ ,

$$(4.4) \quad \text{Cap}(A(r), \mathcal{C}(F)) \geq \omega_{n-1} \log^{1-n} \frac{C_2}{\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(F)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}},$$

where  $C_2 > 0$  depends only on  $r$  and  $n$  (the results in [Geh61] are stated for  $n = 3$  only, but they extend to all dimensions).

Putting (3.1), (4.1), (4.2), (4.3), and (4.4) together, we obtain (1.3) and thus we have proved Theorem 1.5 for  $\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(F_s^*) \leq \epsilon(r, n)$ . If  $\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(F_s^*) > \epsilon(r, n)$ , then the arguments above show that

$$(4.5) \quad \text{Mod } \Gamma_s \geq C(r, n).$$

Combining (4.5) with (3.1) yields

$$\int_M \frac{dt}{(\mathcal{A}_{n-1}f(E_t))^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} \leq C(r, n, K).$$

Hence increasing  $C_1$  if necessary gives Theorem 1.5 for all  $s > M$ .

We finish this section by briefly commenting on the real-valued case mentioned in the introduction. Suppose that  $u : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  belongs to  $W^{1,n}(\mathbb{B}^n)$  and satisfies

$$(4.6) \quad \int_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{B}^n} u(x) dx = 0.$$

Then, by the Poincaré inequality and (4.6),

$$|A_T| = |\{x \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{B}^n : |u| \leq T\}| \geq C(n)$$

for large enough  $T$  depending only on  $n$  and the Sobolev norm of  $u$ . Hence, by applying arguments similar to the ones above to the  $n$ -capacity related to the sets  $A_T$  and  $U_s^* = \{y \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} : |u^*(y)| \geq s\}$ , we have an estimate for the  $\mathcal{H}_{n-1}$ -measure of  $U_s^*$  in terms of  $s$ ,  $T$  and  $\int_{\{x \in \mathbb{B}^n : |u| \leq s\}} |\nabla u(x)|^n dx$ .

## 5. EXPONENTIAL INTEGRABILITY

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1 by using the results established in previous sections and arguments similar to those used in [Mar89]. Let  $f$  be a  $K$ -quasiregular mapping defined in a neighborhood of  $\mathbb{B}^n$  and satisfying  $f(0) = 0$  and (1.2). We denote

$$\beta = (n-1) \left( \frac{n}{2K} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}.$$

Then

$$\alpha_n^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \beta = (n-1) \left( \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{2K} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}.$$

Notice that we lose no generality by assuming that  $f$  is defined in a neighborhood of  $\mathbb{B}^n$ : if we consider a sequence  $(r_j)$  increasing to one, and functions  $f_j$ ,  $f_j(x) = f(r_j x)$ , then the existence of radial limits at almost every  $\varphi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  and Fatou's lemma yield

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \exp\left(\beta |f^*(\zeta)|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}\right) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(\zeta) \leq \liminf_j \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \exp\left(\beta |f_j^*(\zeta)|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}\right) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(\zeta).$$

By the Cavalieri principle,

$$(5.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \exp\left(\beta |f(\zeta)|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}\right) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(\zeta) = \omega_{n-1} + \frac{\beta n}{n-1} \int_0^\infty s^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \mathcal{H}_{n-1}(F_s^*) \exp(\beta s^{\frac{n}{n-1}}) ds.$$

We choose  $r_0 = r_0(n, K)$  as in Theorem 1.6, and let  $M = \max_{|x| \leq r_0} |f(x)|$ . Note that by Corollary 1.7 and (1.2), we have  $M < 1$  and

$$(5.2) \quad \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{B}^n : f(x) \in \mathbb{B}^n(0, M)\}} J(x, f) dx = \int_0^M \mathcal{A}_{n-1} f(E_t) dt \geq \alpha_n M^n.$$

Using (1.3) and (5.1), we are reduced to estimate

$$(5.3) \quad \int_0^{\|f\|_\infty} \frac{1}{s^{n-1}} \exp(\beta s^{\frac{n}{n-1}} - \psi(s)) ds,$$

where  $\psi(s) = 0$  for  $0 < s \leq M$  and

$$\psi(s) = \alpha_n^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \beta \int_M^s \frac{dt}{(\mathcal{A}_{n-1} f(E_t))^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}$$

for  $s \geq M$ . We modify  $\psi$  as follows: for  $0 < s \leq M$ , set

$$\tilde{\psi}(s) := \mu s,$$

and for  $s \geq M$ ,

$$\tilde{\psi}(s) := \psi(s) + \mu M,$$

where

$$\mu = \left( \frac{M \beta^{n-1} \alpha_n}{\int_0^M \mathcal{A}_{n-1} f(E_t) dt} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}.$$

Note that  $\tilde{\psi}$  is strictly increasing for  $0 < s \leq \|f\|_\infty$  and constant, equal to  $\|\tilde{\psi}\|_\infty$ , for  $s > \|f\|_\infty$ . Also  $\tilde{\psi}(0) = 0$ . Finally,  $\tilde{\psi} \leq \psi + \mu M$ . So, by (5.2), and since  $M < 1$ , it is enough to estimate (5.3) with  $\psi$  replaced by  $\tilde{\psi}$ .

Let  $\phi(y) := \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(y)$  for  $0 < y \leq \|\tilde{\psi}\|_\infty$  and  $\phi(y) := \|f\|_\infty$  for  $y > \|\tilde{\psi}\|_\infty$ , so that  $\phi$  is strictly increasing for  $0 < y \leq \|\tilde{\psi}\|_\infty$  and  $\phi(0) = 0$ .

Changing variables  $y = \tilde{\psi}(s)$  the integral (5.3) becomes

$$\int_0^{\|\tilde{\psi}\|_\infty} \exp(\beta \phi(y)^{\frac{n}{n-1}} - y) \phi'(y) \phi(y)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} dy$$

which, since  $\phi' \geq 0$ , is less than or equal to the same integral but from 0 to  $\infty$ . Integrating by parts we then need to estimate

$$(5.4) \quad \int_0^\infty \exp(\beta \phi(y)^{\frac{n}{n-1}} - y) dy = \int_0^\infty \exp((\beta^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \phi(y))^{\frac{n}{n-1}} - y) dy.$$

We have

$$\beta^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \phi'(y) = \begin{cases} \beta^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \mu^{-1}, & 0 < y < \mu M, \\ \alpha_n^{\frac{-1}{n-1}} \beta^{\frac{-1}{n}} (\mathcal{A}_{n-1} f(E_{\phi(y)}))^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, & \mu M < y < \|\tilde{\psi}\|_\infty. \end{cases}$$

Thus, by changing variables with  $s = \phi(y)$ , and by our choice of  $\mu$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (\beta^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \phi'(y))^n dy &= \int_0^{\mu M} \beta^{n-1} \mu^{-n} dy + \alpha_n^{\frac{-n}{n-1}} \beta^{-1} \int_{\mu M}^{\|\tilde{\psi}\|_\infty} (\mathcal{A}_{n-1} f(E_{\phi(y)}))^{\frac{n}{n-1}} dy \\ &= \beta^{n-1} M \mu^{1-n} + \alpha_n^{-1} \int_M^{\|f\|_\infty} \mathcal{A}_{n-1} f(E_t) dt \\ &\leq \alpha_n^{-1} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{A}_{n-1} f(E_t) dt \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

By applying equation (6), page 1080 of [Mos71] to  $\beta^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \phi$ , we conclude that (5.4) is bounded from above by a constant depending only on  $n$ . The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

We finally note that, under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, the left hand side of (5.1) is finite for every  $\beta > 0$ . We fix  $M > 0$ , to be chosen later. After applying Theorem 1.5 to the right hand term in (5.1), we need to show that

$$(5.5) \quad \int_M^\infty s^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \exp\left(\beta s^{\frac{n}{n-1}} - C \int_M^s \frac{dt}{(\mathcal{A}_{n-1} f(E_t))^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}\right) ds$$

is finite, where  $C > 0$ .

By Hölder's inequality,

$$(5.6) \quad s - M = \int_M^s \frac{(\mathcal{A}_{n-1} f(E_t))^{\frac{1}{n}}}{(\mathcal{A}_{n-1} f(E_t))^{\frac{1}{n}}} dt \leq \left( \int_M^s \frac{dt}{(\mathcal{A}_{n-1} f(E_t))^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \left( \int_M^s \mathcal{A}_{n-1} f(E_t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

By our assumption  $\int_0^\infty \mathcal{A}_{n-1} f(E_t) dt$  is finite. Thus, by choosing  $M$  large enough so that

$$\left( \int_M^\infty \mathcal{A}_{n-1} f(E_t) dt \right)^{\frac{-1}{n-1}} > \frac{2\beta}{C},$$

and combining this with (5.6), we can estimate (5.5) from above by

$$\int_M^\infty s^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \exp(\beta(s^{\frac{n}{n-1}} - 2(s-M)^{\frac{n}{n-1}})) ds,$$

which is clearly finite.

## REFERENCES

- [AH96] David R. Adams and Lars Inge Hedberg. *Function spaces and potential theory*, volume 314 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [CM85] S.-Y. A. Chang and D. E. Marshall. On a sharp inequality concerning the Dirichlet integral. *Amer. J. Math.*, 107(5):1015–1033, 1985.
- [Ess87] Matts Essén. Sharp estimates of uniform harmonic majorants in the plane. *Ark. Mat.*, 25(1):15–28, 1987.
- [GM05] John B. Garnett and Donald E. Marshall. *Harmonic measure*, volume 2 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [Geh61] Frederick W. Gehring. Symmetrization of rings in space. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 101:499–519, 1961.
- [GV65] Frederick W. Gehring and Jussi Väisälä. The coefficients of quasiconformality of domains in space. *Acta Math.*, 114:1–70, 1965.

- [Mar89] Donald E. Marshall. A new proof of a sharp inequality concerning the Dirichlet integral. *Ark. Mat.*, 27(1):131–137, 1989.
- [Mos71] J. Moser. A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 20:1077–1092, 1970/71.
- [PC] Pietro Poggi-Corradini. Mapping properties of analytic functions on the disk. To appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., <http://arxiv.org/abs/math.CV/0601080>.
- [Res89] Yuri G. Reshetnyak. *Space mappings with bounded distortion*. volume 73 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1989.
- [Ric93] Seppo Rickman. *Quasiregular mappings*. volume 26 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [Väi71] Jussi Väisälä. *Lectures on  $n$ -dimensional quasiconformal mappings*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
- [Zie89] William P. Ziemer. *Weakly differentiable functions. Sobolev spaces and functions of bounded variation*. volume 120 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CARDWELL HALL, KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY, MANHATTAN, KS 66506, USA

*E-mail address:* `pietro@math.ksu.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, P. O. BOX 35 (MAD), FIN-40014, UNIV. OF JYVÄSKYLÄ, FINLAND.

*E-mail address:* `kirajala@maths.jyu.fi`