

Convexity “Warm-up” II: The Minkowski Functional

Notes from the Functional Analysis Course (Fall 07 - Spring 08)

CONVENTION. Throughout this note \mathbb{K} will be one of the fields \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , and all vector spaces are over \mathbb{K} . In this section, however, when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, it will be only the *real* linear structure that will play a significant role.

Proposition-Definition 1. *Let \mathcal{X} be a vector space, and let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{X}$ be a convex absorbing set. Define, for every element $x \in \mathcal{X}$ the quantity¹*

$$q_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \inf\{\tau > 0 : x \in \tau\mathcal{A}\}. \quad (1)$$

(i) *The map $q_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a quasi-seminorm, i.e.*

$$q_{\mathcal{A}}(x + y) \leq q_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + q_{\mathcal{A}}(y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}; \quad (2)$$

$$q_{\mathcal{A}}(tx) = tq_{\mathcal{A}}(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, t \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

(ii) *One has the inclusions:*

$$\{x \in \mathcal{X} : q_{\mathcal{A}}(x) < 1\} \subset \mathcal{A} \subset \{x \in \mathcal{X} : q_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \leq 1\}. \quad (4)$$

(iii) *If, in addition to the above hypothesis, \mathcal{A} is balanced, then $q_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a seminorm, i.e. besides (2) and (3) it also satisfies the condition:*

$$q_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha x) = |\alpha| \cdot q_{\mathcal{A}}(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \alpha \in \mathbb{K}. \quad (5)$$

The map $q_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called the *Minkowski functional associated to \mathcal{A}* .

Proof. (i). To prove (2) start with two elements $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ and some $\varepsilon > 0$. By the definition (1) there exist positive real numbers $\alpha < q_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + \varepsilon$ and $\beta < q_{\mathcal{A}}(y) + \varepsilon$, such that $x \in \alpha\mathcal{A}$ and $y \in \beta\mathcal{A}$. By Exercise ?? from LCTVS I, it follows that

$$x + y \in \alpha\mathcal{A} + \beta\mathcal{A} = (\alpha + \beta)\mathcal{A},$$

so by the definition (1) we get

$$q_{\mathcal{A}}(x + y) \leq \alpha + \beta < q_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + q_{\mathcal{A}}(y) + 2\varepsilon.$$

Since the inequality $q_{\mathcal{A}}(x + y) < q_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + q_{\mathcal{A}}(y) + 2\varepsilon$ holds for all $\varepsilon > 0$, the desired inequality (2) follows.

¹ Since \mathcal{A} is absorbing, the set on the right-hand side of (1) is non-empty.

To prove (3), let us first observe that, since \mathcal{A} is absorbing, it contains the zero vector, so in fact $0 \in \tau\mathcal{A}$, $\forall \tau > 0$, which means that $q_{\mathcal{A}}(0) = 0$. This shows that in order to prove (3) we may restrict to the case when $t > 0$. In that case we clearly have the equivalence:

$$tx \in \tau\mathcal{A} \Leftrightarrow x \in (\tau/t)\mathcal{A},$$

which shows that the map

$$\Theta : \{\tau > 0 : tx \in \tau\mathcal{A}\} \ni \tau \longmapsto \tau/t \in \{\gamma > 0 : x \in \gamma\mathcal{A}\}$$

is a bijection. Taking the infimum then yields the equality

$$q_{\mathcal{A}}(tx)/t = q_{\mathcal{A}}(x),$$

which is exactly (3).

(ii). To prove the first inclusion in (4), suppose $x \in \mathcal{X}$ satisfies the inequality $q_{\mathcal{A}}(x) < 1$, and let us show that $x \in \mathcal{A}$. By the definition (1), there exists some $\tau \in (0, 1)$, such that $x \in \tau\mathcal{A}$. In particular, the vector $a = \frac{1}{\tau}x$ belongs to \mathcal{A} , so the vector $\tau a + (1 - \tau)0 = x$ also belongs to \mathcal{A} .

The second inclusion in (4) is quite obvious, since for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the set $\{\tau > 0 : a \in \tau\mathcal{A}\}$ clearly contains 1.

(iii). Assume \mathcal{A} is balanced. In particular, if we consider the *multiplicative group*

$$G = \{\gamma \in \mathbb{K} : |\alpha| = 1\},$$

we know that

$$\gamma\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}, \quad \forall \gamma \in G.$$

Therefore, for any $x \in \mathcal{X}$, $\tau > 0$, and $\gamma \in G$, one has the equivalences

$$x \in \tau\mathcal{A} \Leftrightarrow \gamma x \in \tau\gamma\mathcal{A} \Leftrightarrow \gamma x \in \tau\mathcal{A}.$$

By the definition (1) this yields

$$q_{\mathcal{A}}(\gamma x) = q_{\mathcal{A}}(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \gamma \in G. \quad (6)$$

To prove (5) we notice that for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ we can find $\gamma \in G$ and $t \geq 0$, with

$$\alpha = \gamma t, \quad (7)$$

so using (6) and (3) we get

$$q_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha x) = q_{\mathcal{A}}(\gamma tx) = q_{\mathcal{A}}(tx) = tq_{\mathcal{A}}(x),$$

and the desired equality follows from (7) which forces $t = |\alpha|$. \square

Remark. Call a subset $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{X}$ *openly absorbing*, if for every $x \in \mathcal{X}$, the set

$$T(x) = \{t > 0 : tx \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

is non-empty² and *open* in $(0, \infty)$.

With this terminology, statement (ii) from Proposition-Definition 1 can be slightly improved, in the following sense.

Proposition 2. *For a convex absorbing subset $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{X}$, the following are equivalent:*

² Of course, the condition $T(x) \neq \emptyset$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}$, means that \mathcal{A} is absorbing.

- (i) \mathcal{A} is openly absorbing;
- (ii) $\mathcal{A} = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : q_{\mathcal{A}}(x) < 1\}$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Assume \mathcal{A} is openly absorbing. By (4) we only need to prove the inclusion

$$\mathcal{A} \subset \{x \in \mathcal{X} : q_{\mathcal{A}}(x) < 1\}.$$

Start with some $x \in \mathcal{A}$, so that, using the notation from the above Definition, the set $T(x)$ contains 1. Since $T(x)$ is open, it will contain a small open interval around 1. In particular, $T(x)$ contains some $t > 1$. For such a t , it follows that $x \in t^{-1}\mathcal{A}$, so $q_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \leq t^{-1} < 1$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Assume now condition (ii), and let us prove that \mathcal{A} is openly absorbing. Fix some $x \in \mathcal{X}$, and some $t \in T(x)$. We wish to produce an open interval J , such that $t \in J \subset T(x)$. On the one hand, since $tx \in \mathcal{A}$, by (ii) it follows that $q_{\mathcal{A}}(tx) < 1$, or equivalently $t < q_{\mathcal{A}}(x)^{-1}$ (with the convention that $q_{\mathcal{A}}(x)^{-1} = \infty$, if $q_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = 0$). This suggests that we could define J to be the interval $(0, q_{\mathcal{A}}(x)^{-1})$. To check that this works, start with some $s \in J$, i.e. $0 < s < q_{\mathcal{A}}(x)^{-1}$. This forces $q_{\mathcal{A}}(sx) = sq_{\mathcal{A}}(x) < 1$, so by (ii) $sx \in \mathcal{A}$, which means that s indeed belongs to $T(x)$. \square

Exercises 1-9. Let \mathcal{X} be a vector space.

1. Suppose $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{X}$ are convex absorbing sets, such that $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$. Show that

$$q_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \geq q_{\mathcal{B}}(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

2. Prove that if $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n \subset \mathcal{X}$ are convex and absorbing, then $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{A}_i$ is also absorbing. Give an example of two (non-convex) absorbing sets $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \subset \mathbb{R}$, such that $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$ is not absorbing.
3. Prove that, if $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n \subset \mathcal{X}$ are openly absorbing, then so is $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{A}_i$.
4. Prove that given an arbitrary collection $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}$ of openly absorbing subsets, the union $\bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$ is again openly absorbing.
5. Show that, if \mathcal{A} is openly absorbing, then so is its convex hull $\text{CONV}(\mathcal{A})$.
6. Suppose \mathcal{X} is equipped with a linear topology, and $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{X}$ is an open set containing 0. Prove that \mathcal{A} is openly absorbing.
7. Suppose $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{X}$ is convex and absorbing. Define the *pseudo-interior* of \mathcal{A} to be the set $\mathcal{A}^{\diamond} = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : q_{\mathcal{A}}(x) < 1\}$. Prove the following statements.
 - (i) \mathcal{A}^{\diamond} is the largest openly absorbing set contained in \mathcal{A} .
 - (ii) \mathcal{A}^{\diamond} is convex.
 - (iii) $q_{\mathcal{A}^{\diamond}} = q_{\mathcal{A}}$.

8. Let $p : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a quasi-seminorm on a real vector space \mathcal{X} . Consider the set $\mathcal{A} = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : p(x) < 1\}$. Show that:

- (i) \mathcal{A} is convex and openly absorbing.
- (ii) If p is a seminorm, then \mathcal{A} is also balanced.
- (ii) The Minkowski functional $q_{\mathcal{A}}$ is given by

$$q_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \max\{p(x), 0\}, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

9[♥]. Assume $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{X}$ is non-empty, convex and balanced. Prove that the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) the Minkowski functional $q_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a *norm*, i.e. $q_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$;
- (ii) $\bigcap_{t>0} t\mathcal{A} = \{0\}$.

Exercise 10. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Consider the following sets in \mathbb{K}^n :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 < 1\}; \\ \mathcal{B} &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 \leq 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Show that for any $x = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, one has the equalities

$$q_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = q_{\mathcal{B}}(x) = \sqrt{\alpha_1^2 + \dots + \alpha_n^2}.$$